

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,591	12/04/2001	Franco Castellini	BUG 2 0150	5163
75	90 05/03/2004		EXAM	INER
Richard J. Minnich, Esq.			BEISNER, WILLIAM H	
Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior Avenue			1744	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			DATE MAIL ED. 06/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/004,591	CASTELLINI, FRANCO			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
· ·	William H. Beisner	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee 					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4.☑ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 8,9,21 and 22 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	The state of the s				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b' ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and an own or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 8,9,21,22,24,28 and 29.					
Claim(s) rejected: 2-7, 10-20, 23, 25-27, 42 and 43.	•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10. Other:					
		William H. Beisner Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1744			

, Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/004,591

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Removal of "consisting of a plurality of balls" from proposed claim 24 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or grounds for a new rejection including the reference of Sauer et al.(US 5,049,492). Also note "the balls" and "the container" lack antecedent basis in claim 28.